

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/684,117	10/10/2003	Jeffrey E. Nesbitt	28823-903	2750	
29450 7	590 09/21/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BARLEY SNYDER, LLC			THOMPSON, CAMIE S		
1000 WESTLAKES DRIVE, SUITE 275 BERWYN, PA 19312			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1774		

DATE MAILED: 09/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	L America and a	<i>U</i> \				
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/684,117	NESBITT, JEFFREY E.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Camie S. Thompson	1774					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISING OF THE MAILING O	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the state of	ON. imely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•						
· · · · · ·	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-100 is/are pending in the application	n.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.	•						
· —	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-100</u> are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
• •	application from the International Bureau							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen 1) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗀 Intension: 6:	ry (PTO 412)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.								
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	5)	Patent Application (PTO-152)					
. 440		-, 🗀						



DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-54, drawn to a composite, classified in class 428, subclass 292.1.
 - II. Claims 55-68, drawn to a method for making a beneficiated fiber, classified in class 428, subclass 375.
 - III. Claims 69-100, drawn to a beneficiated fiber, classified in class 264, subclass 172.15.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, the method making a beneficiated fiber is a different invention from the invention of a composite. There are steps involved in the making the fiber. The composite provides for a composition.

Inventions I and III are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a yarn and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is

Application/Control Number: 10/684,117

Art Unit: 1774

nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Page 3

- 2. Inventions II and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, a composite can be made using a different fiber such as a synthetic fiber rather than a vegetable fiber.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was made to Salvatore Anastasi on September 9, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Camie S. Thompson whose telephone number is (571) 272-1530. The

Application/Control Number: 10/684,117

Art Unit: 1774

examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena L Dye, can be reached at (571) 272-3186. The fax phone number for the Group is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RENA DYE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

AN 1714 9 (14/08)

Page 4